

From: Amy Tuttle [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, January 6, 2020 11:11 PM
To: PUC-PUC <PUC@state.sd.us>
Subject: [EXT] Docket EL 19-003

RE: Docket EL 19-003

Dear PUC Commissioners and Staff,

I am looking to you, today, to deny the waiver request of Crowned Ridge Wind. I expect you to enforce every requirement dictated in the permit for the same reasons every requirement was deemed important enough to be a part of the permit.

Careful consideration and instruction was bestowed upon the terms detailed in the permit issued to Crowned Ridge Wind by the PUC. Crowned Ridge Wind should have met that permit with, at the very least, the same responsibility, by upholding their agreement to the permit. Requesting a waiver, for an originally stated term of the permit is irresponsible and poor representation of their respect of the permit. Waiver requests should be reserved for unexpected or unforeseen circumstances, not for items planned for and agreed upon at conception of the permit.

By denying the waiver request, Crowned Ridge Wind will be given a clear message that they are expected to do business honestly, responsibly, and in accordance with the permit they sought to receive. As with any consequence, it may, hopefully, deter Crowned Ridge Wind from engaging in preventable deviations of the permit in the future and encourage them to conduct the remainder of the permitted operations with accountability, integrity, and respect.

Direct, non-compliance of the permit is a grossly unacceptable practice. Denying the requested waiver holds Crowned Ridge Wind accountable for it's commitment to the permit. I ask that the waiver be denied and compliance of the permit be demanded.

Sincerely,
Amy Tuttle

[REDACTED]
Watertown, SD 57201