
From: Yakatyak Nelson [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, July 29, 2015 8:51:23 PM
To: PUC Consumer Info
Subject: Keystone pipeline disaster

this country does NOT need what Keystone pipeline has to offer. I want my future generations to be able to say SD did the right thing by keep these mongers out of our state. PLEASE DO NOT let them bully, bribe or brainwash you. NONE of what they have to offer is good.

the aquifer they will and I do mean will because eventually it will happen and you know it they pipeline WILL cause pollution disaster of good water that can NOT be replaced. Tell them to gather their bags and go home SD will NOT bow to them

Kay Saukerson Nelson
[REDACTED] Sioux Falls SD

Colossians 3:23-24 *Whatever you do, work heartily, as for the Lord and not for men, knowing that from the Lord you will receive the inheritance as your reward. You are serving the Lord Christ.*

From: PUC
Sent: Thursday, July 30, 2015 11:57 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: HP14-001

Ms. Nelson:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Keystone XL pipeline certification docket. It will be posted in the electronic public record so my fellow commissioners and other parties in this docket can read it. Here is a link to the docket, HP14-001: <http://www.puc.sd.gov/Dockets/HydrocarbonPipeline/2014/hp14-001.aspx>

Here are links to two documents found on the PUC's home page online. The first is titled Pipeline Siting Info Guide and the second is Keystone XL Pipeline Updates. These documents explain the processing of a pipeline siting case according to the South Dakota laws governing this commission. The latter links to some of the most-often-heard questions about the project and process and the answers.

<http://www.puc.sd.gov/commission/Publication/pipelinesiting.pdf> and
<http://www.puc.sd.gov/Dockets/HydrocarbonPipeline/keystoneupdate.aspx>

Chris Nelson, Chairman
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
www.puc.sd.gov

From: Terry Anderson [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, July 29, 2015 4:45:59 PM
To: PUC Consumer Info
Subject: Support in favor of South Dakota PUC recertifying the KXL pipeline project

Dear SD PUC Commissioners:

I'm writing as a neighboring resident of Nebraska and a supporter of the Keystone XL pipeline project. I believe the project should be re-approved by the South Dakota PUC for the following reasons:

- o Not only does Keystone meet the original conditions for the South Dakota permit, but in many cases TransCanada has gone above and beyond what's required to protect the state's environment.
- o The federal government concluded in their Final Supplemental Environmental Impact study that the pipeline will be safer than the rest of the nation's pipeline infrastructure currently used to transport dangerous commodities.
- o The federal study also concluded that transportation of crude oil by train is many more times hazardous (in the form of spills, injuries/deaths) than transportation via pipeline. Besides transporting oil from our neighbor and ally Canada, the project will provide transportation for U.S. oil from the Bakken area.
- o The increasing reliance on trains for transportation of crude oil has created a severe pinch for transporting harvested agricultural products.
- o Canada is a safe, secure, and reliable country that shares America's interests and values – getting more of our imported oil from Canada will help us move away from reliance on countries that introduce conflict and do not share our same interests and values.
- o With or without the KXL pipeline, oil will flow from the Canadian Oil Sands – leading the Final Environmental Impact study to conclude that the pipeline will have no significant adverse impact on greenhouse gas production.
- o Once in operation the pipeline will contribute well over \$15 million per year in South Dakota property taxes.
- o It is also estimated that during construction the pipeline project will generate in excess of \$40 million in South Dakota state taxes.

Thank You!

Terry Anderson
[REDACTED]
Omaha, NE 68164



From: PUC

Sent: Thursday, July 30, 2015 11:57 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: HP14-001

Thank you for your letter regarding the Keystone XL pipeline certification docket. It will be posted in the electronic public record so my fellow commissioners and other parties in this docket can read it. Here is a link to the docket, HP14-001: <http://www.puc.sd.gov/Dockets/HydrocarbonPipeline/2014/hp14-001.aspx>

Here are links to two documents found on the PUC's home page online. The first is titled Pipeline Siting Info Guide and the second is Keystone XL Pipeline Updates. These documents explain the processing of a pipeline siting case according to the South Dakota laws governing this commission. The latter links to some of the most-often-heard questions about the project and process and the answers.

<http://www.puc.sd.gov/commission/Publication/pipelinesiting.pdf> and

<http://www.puc.sd.gov/Dockets/HydrocarbonPipeline/keystoneupdate.aspx>

Chris Nelson, Chairman

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission

www.puc.sd.gov

From: Klabunde, Paul[SMTP:PAUL.KLABUNDE@MAGELLANLP.COM]
Sent: Thursday, July 30, 2015 8:22:53 AM
To: PUC Consumer Info
Subject: Keystone Pipeline
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Hello PUC

I am not a resident of South Dakota but have been through your Beautiful state several times. I am writing you today telling you that the Keystone Pipeline is a very good project as a whole for not only South Dakota but also your neighboring State of North Dakota. It will be state of art technology and will be a good thing for yours and our Economy. We need to get less crude oil trucks off the roads and less Crude Rail cars off the tracks for Safety reasons. The pipeline is the safest and most efficient way to transport this product that keeps our entire Country going. I have worked in the Pipeline Industry for 28 years and know that this pipeline will be safe as the new standards that our Federal Government has put on us has made them even safer. Thanks you for your time.

Magellan Midstream Partners, L.P.
Paul Klabunde
Coordinator of Operations & Maintenance
902 Main Avenue East
West Fargo, ND 58078
701-282-7134-Office
701-793-8377-Cell
paul.klabunde@magellanlp.com



MAGELLAN®
MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, L.P.

025511

From: PUC
Sent: Thursday, July 30, 2015 11:57 AM
To: 'PAUL.KLABUNDE@MAGELLANLP.COM'
Subject: HP14-001

Mr. Klabunde:

Thank you for your letter regarding the Keystone XL pipeline certification docket. It will be posted in the electronic public record so my fellow commissioners and other parties in this docket can read it. Here is a link to the docket, HP14-001: <http://www.puc.sd.gov/Dockets/HydrocarbonPipeline/2014/hp14-001.aspx>

Here are links to two documents found on the PUC's home page online. The first is titled Pipeline Siting Info Guide and the second is Keystone XL Pipeline Updates. These documents explain the processing of a pipeline siting case according to the South Dakota laws governing this commission. The latter links to some of the most-often-heard questions about the project and process and the answers.

<http://www.puc.sd.gov/commission/Publication/pipelinesiting.pdf> and
<http://www.puc.sd.gov/Dockets/HydrocarbonPipeline/keystoneupdate.aspx>

Chris Nelson, Chairman
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
www.puc.sd.gov

From: Heidler Ranch [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, July 30, 2015 1:35:53 PM
To: PUC Consumer Info
Subject: TransCanada

Carmen Heidler
[REDACTED]

Opal, SD 47748

I'm not sure this is who I should be sending this to or if I even should bring it up but I'm going to and you can redirect me if you'd like.

There will be a pump station on ground that TransCanada bought from us if this happens. It is right next to a cemetery. One of my concerns was the noise from the pump. They told us the pumps weren't very loud. We wondered "compared to what" but we never really got much of an answer. We were willing to drive somewhere to hear how loud it was before we signed any papers but they didn't have any like what is suppose to be at this pump station. Which seems odd to me since building pipe lines and pump stations is what they do. Any way, they finally sent us a little letter saying they would plant trees around it or enclose the pump into a building if it was to loud during a funeral. Noting very official just a letter. Probably not worth any thing if it really comes down to it.

We had mixed emotions about the pipeline. Of course we would prefer if it didn't have to come through us or any where near us but we also understand it has to get transported some how. And I might not been against it if we had any idea who was going to own it. If it would be staying in our country or shipped off to someone who's trying to kill us. And with the money they've spent it seems like it would have been more cost effective if they just built a refinery where the oil is. Then that makes you wonder if it's not safe to do that either.

Any way. If you have time to reply to me to let me know that you received it I would appreciate it.

Thank you,
Carmen Heidler